



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/708,446 | 03/04/2004 | CHIH-HSIANG YANG | 11584-US-PA | 2445 |
| 31561 | 7590 | 11/01/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE | | | PIZIALI, JEFFREY J | |
| 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 | | | | |
| ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| TAIPEI, 100 | | | | 2629 |
| TAIWAN | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/01/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

**Notice of Non-Compliant
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)**

Application No.

10/708,446

Applicant(s)

YANG, CHIH-HSIANG

Examiner

Jeff Piziali

Art Unit

2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on 11 December 2006 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

- 1. Amendments to the specification:
 - A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
 - B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
 - C. Other See Continuation Sheet.
- 2. Abstract:
 - A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.
 - B. Other _____.
- 3. Amendments to the drawings:
 - A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 - B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.
 - C. Other _____.
- 4. Amendments to the claims:
 - A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.
 - B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)
 - C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
 - D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.
 - E. Other: _____.
- 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted.
2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) **only** if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

Telephone No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Part of Paper No. 20071024

DO

Continuation of 1(c) Other:

First and foremost, the applicant is thanked for the 'Amendment and Response to Office Action' filed 11 December 2006. However, non-compliant amendments have been discovered in the aforementioned responses, requiring attention before examination may continue.

C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(1) requires, "Amendments to the specification, including amendment to a section heading or the title of the invention which are considered for amendment purposes to be an amendment of a paragraph, must be made by submitting:

- (i) An instruction, which unambiguously identifies the location, to delete one or more paragraphs of the specification, replace a paragraph with one or more replacement paragraphs, or add one or more paragraphs;
- (ii) The full text of any replacement paragraph with markings to show all the changes relative to the previous version of the paragraph. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived."

The 'Amendment and Response to Office Action' filed 11 December 2006 at least improperly fails to unambiguously identify the location of the replacement paragraphs (see Pages 2-3 of the 'Amendment and Response to Office Action' filed 11 December 2006). For example, replacement "paragraph [0002]" appears to instead be an amended version of paragraph [0005]; replacement "paragraph [0003]" appears to instead be an amended version of paragraph [0006]; replacement "paragraph [0005]" appears to instead be an amended version of paragraph [0008]; and replacement "paragraph [0026]" appears to instead be an amended version of paragraph [0029] (see the original specification filed 4 March 2004). The applicant is respectfully encouraged to unambiguously identify the location of the replacement paragraphs, as required by C.F.R. § 1.121.

The 'Amendment and Response to Office Action' filed 11 December 2006 also improperly uses strike-through to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters (e.g., see at least page 2, replacement "paragraph [0003]," line 9; and page 3, replacement "paragraph [0026]," line 4 of the 'Amendment and Response to Office Action' filed 11 December 2006), rendering the deletions difficult to perceive. The applicant is respectfully encouraged to use double brackets to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters, as required by C.F.R. § 1.121.

Lastly, the 'Amendment and Response to Office Action' filed 11 December 2006 improperly adds text to at least one replacement paragraph without using underlining to indicate the change (e.g., see at least page 2, replacement "paragraph [0002]," line 4 of the 'Amendment and Response to Office Action' filed 11 December 2006 -- which adds the article "a" before "substantially large layout"). The applicant is respectfully encouraged to use markings to show all the changes relative to the previous version of each replacement paragraph, as required by C.F.R. § 1.121.

By such reasoning, this Notice of Non-Compliance is deemed necessary and proper at this time.

Please note: The amendments have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible non-compliance errors. If additional issues of non-compliance are discovered at the time of a subsequent amendment, yet another Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment will be necessitated. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any other errors of which applicant may become aware.



Jeff Piziali
24 October 2007